arXiv:1302.3257v1 [math.DG] 11 Feb 2013

On Twisted Products Finsler Manifolds

E. Peyghan, A. Tayebi and L. Nourmohammadi Far

February 15, 2013

Abstract

On the product of two Finsler manifolds $M_1 \times M_2$, we consider the twisted metric F which is construct by using Finsler metrics F_1 and F_2 on the manifolds M_1 and M_2, respectively. We introduce horizontal and vertical distributions on twisted product Finsler manifold and study C-reducible and semi-C-reducible properties of this manifold. Then we obtain the Riemannian curvature and some of non-Riemannian curvatures of the twisted product Finsler manifold such as Berwald curvature, mean Berwald curvature and we find the relations between these objects and their corresponding objects on M_1 and M_2. Finally, we study locally dually flat twisted product Finsler manifold.

Keywords: Twisted product Finsler manifold, non-Riemannian curvature, locally dually flat.[1]

1 Introduction.

Twisted and warped product structures are widely used in geometry to construct newexamples of semi-Riemannian manifolds with interesting curvature properties. (see [3][10][13][18][21]). Twisted product metric tensors, as a generalization of warped product metric tensors, have also been useful in the study of several aspects of submanifold theory, namely, in hypersurfaces of complex space forms [14], in Lagrangian submanifolds [7] and in decomposition of curvature netted hypersurfaces [12], etc.

The notion of twisted product of Riemannian manifolds was mentioned first by Chen in [5], and was generalized for the pseudo-Riemannian case by Ponge and Reckziegel [22]. Chen extended the study of twisted product for CR-submanifolds in Kähler manifolds [6].

On the other hand, Finsler geometry is a natural extension of Riemannian geometry without the quadratic restriction. Therefore, it is natural to extending the construction of twisted product manifolds for Finsler geometry. In [13], Kozma-Peter-Shimada extended the construction of twisted product for the Finsler geometry.

---

[1] 2010 Mathematics subject Classification: 53C60, 53C25.

Let (M_1, F_1) and (M_2, F_2) are two Finsler manifolds with Finsler metrics F_1 and F_2, respectively, and $f : M_1 \times M_2 \longrightarrow R^+$ be a smooth function. On the product manifold $M_1 \times M_2$, we consider the metric

$$F(v_1, v_2) = \sqrt{F_1^2(v_1) + f^2(x, y)F_2^2(v_2)}$$

for all $(x, y) \in M_1 \times M_2$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in TM_1^\circ \times TM_2^\circ$, where TM_1° is the slit tangent manifold $TM_1^\circ = TM_1 \setminus \{\circ\}$. The manifold $M_1 \times M_2$ endowed with this metric, we call the twisted product of the manifolds M_1 and M_2 and denote it by $M_1 \times_f M_2$. The function f will be called the twisted function. In particular, if f is constant on M_2, then $M_1 \times_f M_2$ is called warped product manifold.

Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold. The second and third order derivatives of $\frac{1}{2}F_x^2$ at $y \in T_xM_0$ are the symmetric trilinear forms $\mathbf{g}_y$ and $\mathbf{C}_y$ on T_xM, which called the fundamental tensor and Cartan torsion, respectively. A Finsler metric is called semi-C-reducible if its Cartan tensor is given by

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{p}{1+n}\{h_{ij}I_k + h_{jk}I_i + h_{ki}J_j\} + \frac{q}{C^2}I_iI_jI_k,$$

where $p = p(x, y)$ and $q = q(x, y)$ are scalar function on TM, h_{ij} is the angular metric and $C^2 = I^iI_i$ [17]. If $q = 0$, then F is called C-reducible Finsler metric and if $p = 0$, then F is called $C2$-like metric.

The geodesic curves of a Finsler metric F on a smooth manifold M, are determined by the system of second order differential equations $\ddot{c}^i + 2G^i(\dot{c}) = 0$, where the local functions $G^i = G^i(x, y)$ are called the spray coefficients. F is called a Berwald metric, if G^i are quadratic in $y \in T_xM$ for any $x \in M$. Taking a trace of Berwald curvature yields mean Berwald curvature $\mathbf{E}$. Then F is said to be isotropic mean Berwald metric if $\mathbf{E} = \frac{n+1}{2}cF^{-1}\mathbf{h}$, where $\mathbf{h} = h_{ij}dx^i \otimes dx^j$ is the angular metric and $c = c(x)$ is a scalar function on M [19].

The second variation of geodesics gives rise to a family of linear maps $\mathbf{R}_y = R^i{}_k dx^k \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_x : T_xM \to T_xM$ at any point $y \in T_xM$. R_y is called the Riemann curvature in the direction y. A Finsler metric F is said to be of scalar flag curvature, if for some scalar function $\mathbf{K}$ on TM_0 the Riemann curvature is in the form $R^i{}_k = \mathbf{K}F^2h^i_j$. If $\mathbf{K} = \mathit{constant}$, then F is said to be of constant flag curvature.

In this paper, we introduce the horizontal and vertical distributions on tangent bundle of a doubly warped product Finsler manifold and construct the Finsler connection on this manifold. Then, we study some geometric properties of this product manifold such as C-reducible and semi-C-reducible. Then, we introduce the Riemmanian curvature of twisted product Finsler manifold $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ and find the relation between it and Riemmanian curvatures of its components (M_1, F_1) and (M_2, F_2). In the cases that $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ is flat or it has the scalar flag curvature, we obtain some results on its components. Then, we study twisted product Finsler metrics with vanishing Berwald curvature and isotropic mean Berwald curvature, respectively. Finally, we study locally dually flat twisted product Finsler manifold. We prove that there is not exist any locally dually flat proper twisted product Finsler manifold.

2 Preliminary

Let M be an n-dimensional C^∞ manifold. Denote by T_xM the tangent space at $x \in M$, by $TM = \cup_{x\in M} T_xM$ the tangent bundle of M, and by $TM^\circ = TM \setminus \{0\}$ the slit tangent bundle on M [20]. A Finsler metric on M is a function $F : TM \to [0, \infty)$ which has the following properties:
(i) F is C^∞ on TM°;
(ii) F is positively 1-homogeneous on the fibers of tangent bundle TM;
(iii) for each $y \in T_xM$, the following quadratic form $\mathbf{g}_y$ on T_xM is positive definite,

$$\mathbf{g}_y(u, v) := \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t}\left[F^2(y + su + tv)\right]|_{s,t=0}, \quad u, v \in T_xM.$$

Let $x \in M$ and $F_x := F|_{T_xM}$. To measure the non-Euclidean feature of F_x, define $\mathbf{C}_y : T_xM \otimes T_xM \otimes T_xM \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathbf{C}_y(u, v, w) := \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left[\mathbf{g}_{y+tw}(u, v)\right]|_{t=0}, \quad u, v, w \in T_xM.$$

The family $\mathbf{C} := \{\mathbf{C}_y\}_{y\in TM^\circ}$ is called the Cartan torsion. It is well known that $\mathbf{C} = 0$ if and only if F is Riemannian [23].

For $y \in T_xM^\circ$, define mean Cartan torsion $\mathbf{I}_y$ by $\mathbf{I}_y(u) := I_i(y)u^i$, where $I_i := g^{jk}C_{ijk}$, $C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k}$ and $u = u^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_x$. By Deicke's Theorem, F is Riemannian if and only if $\mathbf{I}_y = 0$.

Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold. For $y \in T_xM^\circ$, define the Matsumoto torsion $\mathbf{M}_y : T_xM \otimes T_xM \otimes T_xM \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\mathbf{M}_y(u, v, w) := M_{ijk}(y)u^iv^jw^k$ where

$$M_{ijk} := C_{ijk} - \frac{1}{n+1}\{I_ih_{jk} + I_jh_{ik} + I_kh_{ij}\},$$

$h_{ij} := FF_{y^iy^j}$ is the angular metric. In [16]), it is proved that a Finsler metric F on a manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$ is a Randers metric if and only if $\mathbf{M}_y = 0$, $\forall y \in TM_0$. A Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ on a manifold M is just a Riemannian metric $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}y^iy^j}$ perturbated by a one form $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ on M such that $\|\beta\|_\alpha < 1$.

A Finsler metric is called semi-C-reducible if its Cartan tensor is given by

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{p}{1+n}\{h_{ij}I_k + h_{jk}I_i + h_{ki}I_j\} + \frac{q}{C^2}I_iI_jI_k,$$

where $p = p(x, y)$ and $q = q(x, y)$ are scalar function on TM and $C^2 = I^iI_i$ with $p + q = 1$. In [17], Matsumoto-Shibata proved that every (α, β)-metric on a manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$ is semi-C-reducible.

Given a Finsler manifold (M, F), then a global vector field $\mathbf{G}$ is induced by F on TM°, which in a standard coordinate (x^i, y^i) for TM° is given by $\mathbf{G} = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$, where

$$G^i := \frac{1}{4}g^{il}\Big[\frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial x^k \partial y^l}y^k - \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x^l}\Big], \quad y \in T_xM.$$

$\mathbf{G}$ is called the spray associated to (M, F). In local coordinates, a curve $c(t)$ is a geodesic if and only if its coordinates $(c^i(t))$ satisfy $\ddot{c}^i + 2G^i(\dot{c}) = 0$ [2].

A Finsler metric $F = F(x, y)$ on a manifold M is said to be locally dually flat if at any point there is a coordinate system (x^i) in which the spray coefficients are in the following form

$$G^i = -\frac{1}{2} g^{ij} H_{y^j},$$

where $H = H(x, y)$ is a C^∞ scalar function on TM° satisfying $H(x, \lambda y) = \lambda^3 H(x, y)$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Such a coordinate system is called an adapted coordinate system. In [24], Shen proved that the Finsler metric F on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is dually flat if and only if it satisfies $(F^2)_{x^k y^l} y^k = 2(F^2)_{x^l}$.

For a tangent vector $y \in T_xM^\circ$, define $\mathbf{B}_y : T_xM \otimes T_xM \otimes T_xM \to T_xM$ and $\mathbf{E}_y : T_xM \otimes T_xM \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\mathbf{B}_y(u, v, w) := B^i{}_{jkl}(y) u^j v^k w^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_x$ and $\mathbf{E}_y(u, v) := E_{jk}(y) u^j v^k$ where

$$B^i{}_{jkl} := \frac{\partial^3 G^i}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l}, \quad E_{jk} := \frac{1}{2} B^m{}_{jkm}.$$

$\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ are called the Berwald curvature and mean Berwald curvature, respectively. Then F is called a Berwald metric and weakly Berwald metric if $\mathbf{B} = 0$ and $\mathbf{E} = 0$, respectively [23]. It is proved that on a Berwald space, the parallel translation along any geodesic preserves the Minkowski functionals [11].

A Finsler metric F is said to be isotropic Berwald metric and isotropic mean Berwald metric if its Berwald curvature and mean Berwald curvature is in the following form, respectively

$$B^i{}_{jkl} = c\{F_{y^j y^k} \delta^i{}_l + F_{y^k y^l} \delta^i{}_j + F_{y^l y^j} \delta^i{}_k + F_{y^j y^k y^l} y^i\}, \qquad (2\ .1)$$

$$E_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(n+1) c F^{-1} h_{ij}, \qquad (2\ .2)$$

where $c = c(x)$ is a scalar function on M [8][25].

The Riemann curvature $\mathbf{R}_y = R^i{}_k dx^k \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_x : T_xM \to T_xM$ is a family of linear maps on tangent spaces, defined by

$$R^i{}_k = 2\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial x^k} - y^j \frac{\partial^2 G^i}{\partial x^j \partial y^k} + 2G^j \frac{\partial^2 G^i}{\partial y^j \partial y^k} - \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial G^j}{\partial y^k}. \qquad (2\ .3)$$

The flag curvature in Finsler geometry is a natural extension of the sectional curvature in Riemannian geometry was first introduced by L. Berwald [4]. For a flag $P = \mathrm{span}\{y, u\} \subset T_xM$ with flagpole y, the flag curvature $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(P, y)$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{K}(P, y) := \frac{\mathbf{g}_y(u, \mathbf{R}_y(u))}{\mathbf{g}_y(y, y)\mathbf{g}_y(u, u) - \mathbf{g}_y(y, u)^2}. \qquad (2\ .4)$$

We say that a Finsler metric F is of scalar curvature if for any $y \in T_xM$, the flag curvature $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(x, y)$ is a scalar function on the slit tangent bundle TM°. If $\mathbf{K} = constant$, then F is said to be of constant flag curvature.

3 Nonlinear Connection

Let (M_1, F_1) and (M_2, F_2) be two Finsler manifolds. Then the functions

$$(i)\ g_{ij}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F_1^2(x,y)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}, \quad (ii)\ g_{\alpha\beta}(u,v) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F_2^2(u,v)}{\partial v^\alpha \partial v^\beta}, \tag{3 .5}$$

define a Finsler tensor field of type $(0,2)$ on TM_1° and TM_2°, respectively. Now let $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ be a doubly warped Finsler manifold, $\mathbf{x} = (x,u) \in M$, $\mathbf{y} = (y,v) \in T_\mathbf{x}M$, $M = M_1 \times M_2$ and $T_\mathbf{x}M = T_xM_1 \oplus T_uM_2$. Then by using (3 .5) we conclude that

$$\Big(\mathbf{g}_{ab}(x,u,y,v)\Big) = \Big(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F^2(x,u,y,v)}{\partial \mathbf{y}^a \mathbf{y}^b}\Big) = \begin{bmatrix} g_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & f^2 g_{\alpha\beta} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3 .6}$$

where $\mathbf{y}^a = (y^i, v^\alpha)$, $\mathbf{g}_{ij} = g_{ij}$, $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta} = f^2 g_{\alpha\beta}$, $\mathbf{g}_{i\beta} = \mathbf{g}_{\alpha j} = 0$, $i,j,\ldots \in \{1,\ldots,n_1\}$, $\alpha,\beta,\ldots \in \{1,\ldots,n_2\}$ and $a,b,\ldots \in \{1,\ldots,n_1+n_2\}$.

Now we consider the the spray coefficients of F_1, F_2 and F as

$$G^i(x,y) = \frac{1}{4}g^{ih}\Big(\frac{\partial^2 F_1^2}{\partial y^h \partial x^j}y^j - \frac{\partial F_1^2}{\partial x^h}\Big)(x,y), \tag{3 .7}$$

$$G^\alpha(u,v) = \frac{1}{4}g^{\alpha\gamma}\Big(\frac{\partial^2 F_2^2}{\partial v^\gamma \partial u^\beta}v^\beta - \frac{\partial F_2^2}{\partial u^\gamma}\Big)(u,v), \tag{3 .8}$$

$$\mathbf{G}^a(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{g}^{ab}\Big(\frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial \mathbf{y}^b \partial \mathbf{x}^c}\mathbf{y}^c - \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial \mathbf{x}^b}\Big)(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}). \tag{3 .9}$$

Taking into account the homogeneity of both F_1^2 and F_2^2, and using (3 .7) and (3 .8), we can conclude that G^i and G^α are positively homogeneous of degree two with respect to (y^i) and (v^α), respectively. Hence from Euler theorem for homogeneous functions, we infer that

$$\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j}y^j = 2G^i, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial G^\alpha}{\partial v^\beta}v^\beta = 2G^\alpha.$$

By setting $a = i$ in (3 .9) we have

$$\mathbf{G}^i(x,u,y,v) = \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{g}^{ih}\Big(\frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^h \partial x^j}y^j + \frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^h \partial u^\alpha}v^\alpha - \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x^h}\Big).$$

Direct calculations give us

$$\frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x^h} = \frac{\partial F_1^2}{\partial x^h} + \frac{\partial f^2}{\partial x^h}F_2^2,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^h \partial x^j} = \frac{\partial^2 F_1^2}{\partial y^h \partial x^j}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^h \partial u^\alpha} = 0.$$

Putting these equations together $\mathbf{g}^{ih} = g^{ih}$ in the above equation and using (3 .7) imply that

$$\mathbf{G}^i(x,u,y,v) = G^i(x,y) - \frac{1}{2} f f^i F_2^2. \tag{3 .10}$$

Similarly, by setting $a = \alpha$ in (3 .9) and using (3 .8) we obtain

$$\mathbf{G}^\alpha(x,u,y,v) = G^\alpha(u,v) + f^{-1}(f_j v^\alpha y^j + f_\lambda v^\alpha v^\lambda - \frac{1}{2} f_\gamma g^{\alpha\gamma} F_2^2), \tag{3 .11}$$

where $f_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}$, $f_\gamma = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u^\gamma}$, $f^i = g^{ih} f_h$ and $f^\gamma = g^{\lambda\gamma} f_\lambda$. Therefore we have $\mathbf{G}^a = (\mathbf{G}^i, \mathbf{G}^\alpha)$, where $\mathbf{G}^a$, $\mathbf{G}^i$ and $\mathbf{G}^\alpha$ are given by (3 .9), (3 .10) and (3 .11), respectively.

Now, we put

$$(i)\ \ \mathbf{G}^a_b := \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}^a}{\partial \mathbf{y}^b}, \quad (ii)\ \ G^i_j := \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j}, \quad (iii)\ \ G^\alpha_\beta := \frac{\partial G^\alpha}{\partial v^\beta}. \tag{3 .12}$$

Then we have the following.

Lemma 1. *The coefficients* $\boldsymbol{G}^a_b$ *defined by (3 .12) satisfy in the following*

$$\Big(\boldsymbol{G}^a_b(x,u,y,v)\Big) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \boldsymbol{G}^i_j(x,u,y,v) & \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_j(x,u,y,v) \\ \boldsymbol{G}^i_\beta(x,u,y,v) & \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_\beta(x,u,y,v) \end{array} \right], \tag{3 .13}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{G}^i_j(x,u,y,v) := \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^i}{\partial y^j} = G^i_j + C^{ih}_j f f_h F_2^2, \tag{3 .14}$$

$$\boldsymbol{G}^i_\beta(x,u,y,v) := \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^i}{\partial v^\beta} = -f f^i v_\beta, \tag{3 .15}$$

$$\boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_j(x,u,y,v) := \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha}{\partial y^j} = f^{-1} f_j v^\alpha, \tag{3 .16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_\beta(x,u,y,v) := \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha}{\partial v^\beta} &= G^\alpha_\beta + f^{-1}(C^{\alpha\gamma}_\beta f_\gamma F_2^2 + f_j y^j \delta^\alpha_\beta - f^\alpha v_\beta \\ &\quad + f_\beta v^\alpha + f_\gamma v^\gamma \delta^\alpha_\beta). \end{aligned} \tag{3 .17}$$

Next, VTM° kernel of the differential of the projection map

$$\pi := (\pi_1, \pi_2) : TM_1^\circ \oplus TM_2^\circ \to M_1 \times M_2,$$

which is a well-defined subbundle of TTM°, is considered. Locally, $\Gamma(VTM^\circ)$ is spanned by the natural vector fields $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{n_1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{n_2}}\}$ and it is called the *twisted vertical distribution* on TM°. Then, using the functions given by (3 .14)-(3 .17), the nonholonomic vector fields are defined as following

$$\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^i} : = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \mathbf{G}^j_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} - \mathbf{G}^\beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\beta}, \tag{3 .18}$$

$$\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\alpha} : = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} - \mathbf{G}^j_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} - \mathbf{G}^\beta_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\beta}, \tag{3 .19}$$

which make it possible to construct a complementary vector subbundle HTM° to VTM° in TTM° as follows

$$HTM^\circ := span\{\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^1}, \ldots, \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^{n_1}}, \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^1}, \ldots, \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^{n_2}}\}.$$

HTM° is called the *twisted horizontal distribution* on TM°. Thus the tangent bundle of TM° admits the decomposition

$$TTM^\circ = HTM^\circ \oplus VTM^\circ. \tag{3 .20}$$

It is shown that $\mathbf{G} := (\mathbf{G}^a_b)$ is a nonlinear connection on $TM = TM_1 \oplus TM_2$. In the following, we compute the non-linear connection of a twisted product Finsler manifold.

Proposition 1. *If* $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ *is a twisted product Finsler manifold, then* $\boldsymbol{G} = (\boldsymbol{G}^a_b)$ *is the nonlinear connection on* TM. *Further, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^i_j}{\partial y^k} y^k + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^i_j}{\partial v^\gamma} v^\gamma &= \boldsymbol{G}^i_j, \\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^i_\beta}{\partial y^k} y^k + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^i_\beta}{\partial v^\gamma} v^\gamma &= \boldsymbol{G}^i_\beta, \\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_j}{\partial y^k} y^k + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_j}{\partial v^\gamma} v^\gamma &= \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_j, \\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_\beta}{\partial y^k} y^k + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_\beta}{\partial v^\gamma} v^\gamma &= \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 1. *Using decomposition (3 .20), the twisted vertical morphism* $v^t : TTM^\circ \to VTM^\circ$ *is defined by*

$$v^t := \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes \delta^t y^i + \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\alpha} \otimes \delta^t v^\alpha,$$

where

$$\delta^t y^i := dy^i + \boldsymbol{G}^i_j dx^j + \boldsymbol{G}^i_\beta du^\beta, \tag{3 .21}$$

$$\delta^t v^\alpha := dv^\alpha + \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_j dx^j + \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_\beta du^\beta. \tag{3 .22}$$

For this projective morphism, the following hold

$$v^t(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \quad v^t(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^\alpha}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\alpha}, \quad v^t(\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^i}) = 0, \quad v^t(\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^i}) = 0.$$

From the above equations, we conclude that

$$(v^t)^2 = v^t, \quad \text{and} \quad \ker(v^t) = HTM^\circ.$$

This mapping is called the *twisted vertical projective*.

Definition 2. *Using decomposition (3 .20), the doubly warped horizontal projective $h^t : TTM^\circ \to HTM^\circ$ is defined by*

$$h^t = id - v^t$$

or

$$h^t = \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^i} \otimes dx^i + \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\alpha} \otimes du^\alpha.$$

For this projective morphism, the following hold

$$h^t(\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^i}) = \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^i}, \quad h^t(\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\alpha}) = \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\alpha}, \quad h^t(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}) = 0, \quad h^t(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^\alpha}) = 0.$$

Thus we result that

$$(h^t)^2 = h^t, \quad \text{and} \quad \ker(h^t) = VTM^\circ.$$

Definition 3. *Using decomposition (3 .20), the twisted almost tangent structure $J^t : HTM^\circ \to VTM^\circ$ is defined by*

$$J^t : \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes dx^i + \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\alpha} \otimes du^\alpha,$$

or

$$J^t(\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^i}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \quad J^t(\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\alpha}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\alpha}, \quad J^t(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}) = J^t(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^\alpha}) = 0.$$

Thus we result that

$$(J^t)^2 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \ker J^t = Im J^t = VTM^\circ.$$

Here, we introduce some geometrical objects of twisted product Finsler manifold. In order to simplify the equations, we rewritten the basis of HTM° and VTM° as follows:

$$\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^a} = \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^i}\delta^i_a + \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\alpha}\delta^\alpha_a,$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}^a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\delta^i_a + \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\alpha}\delta^\alpha_a.$$

Thus

$$TTM^\circ = span\{\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^a}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}^a}\}.$$

The Lie brackets of this basis is given by

$$[\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^a}, \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^b}] = \mathbf{R}^c{}_{ab}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}^c}, \tag{3 .23}$$

$$[\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^a}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}^b}] = \mathbf{G}^c_{ab}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}^c}, \tag{3 .24}$$

$$[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}^a}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}^b}] = 0, \tag{3 .25}$$

where

$$(i)\quad \mathbf{R}^{c}{}_{ab} = \frac{\delta^t \mathbf{G}^c_a}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^b} - \frac{\delta^t \mathbf{G}^c_b}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^a}, \tag{3 .26}$$

$$(ii)\quad \mathbf{G}^{c}{}_{ab} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}^c_a}{\partial \mathbf{y}^b}. \tag{3 .27}$$

Therefore, we have the following.

Corollary 1. *Let $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ be a twisted product Finsler manifold. Then*

$$\boldsymbol{R}^{c}{}_{ab} = (\boldsymbol{R}^{k}{}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{R}^{k}{}_{i\beta}, \boldsymbol{R}^{k}{}_{\alpha j}, \boldsymbol{R}^{k}{}_{\alpha\beta}, \boldsymbol{R}^{\gamma}{}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{R}^{\gamma}{}_{i\beta}, \boldsymbol{R}^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha j}, \boldsymbol{R}^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{R}^{k}{}_{ij} &:= \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^k_i}{\delta^t x^j} - \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^k_j}{\delta^t x^i}, \quad & \boldsymbol{R}^{k}{}_{i\beta} &:= \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^k_i}{\delta^t u^\beta} - \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^k_\beta}{\delta^t x^i},\\
\boldsymbol{R}^{k}{}_{\alpha j} &:= \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^k_\alpha}{\delta^t x^j} - \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^k_j}{\delta^t u^\alpha}, \quad & \boldsymbol{R}^{k}{}_{\alpha\beta} &:= \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^k_\alpha}{\delta^t u^\beta} - \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^k_\beta}{\delta^t u^\alpha},\\
\boldsymbol{R}^{\gamma}{}_{ij} &:= \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_i}{\delta^t x^j} - \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_j}{\delta^t x^i}, \quad & \boldsymbol{R}^{\gamma}{}_{i\beta} &:= \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_i}{\delta^t u^\beta} - \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_\beta}{\delta^t x^i},\\
\boldsymbol{R}^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha j} &:= \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_\alpha}{\delta^t x^j} - \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_j}{\delta^t u^\alpha}, \quad & \boldsymbol{R}^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta} &:= \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_\alpha}{\delta^t u^\beta} - \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_\beta}{\delta^t u^\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

With a simple calculation, we have the following.

Corollary 2. *Let $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ be a twisted product Finsler manifold. Then*

$$\boldsymbol{G}^c_{ab} = (\boldsymbol{G}^k_{ij}, \boldsymbol{G}^k_{i\beta}, \boldsymbol{G}^k_{\alpha j}, \boldsymbol{G}^k_{\alpha\beta}, \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_{ij}, \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_{i\beta}, \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_{\alpha j}, \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_\alpha}{\partial v^\beta} = G^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} + f^{-1}(C^{\gamma\lambda}_{\alpha;\beta} f_\lambda F_2^2 + 2C^{\gamma\lambda}_\alpha f_\lambda v_\beta + 2C^{\gamma\lambda}_\beta f_\lambda v_\alpha\\
&\qquad -f^\gamma g_{\alpha\beta} + f_\beta \delta^\gamma_\alpha + f_\alpha \delta^\gamma_\beta) = \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_{\beta\alpha},\\
\boldsymbol{G}^k_{ij} &= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^k_i}{\partial y^j} = G^k_{ij} + C^{kh}_{i;j} f f_h F_2^2 = \boldsymbol{G}^k_{ji},\\
\boldsymbol{G}^k_{i\beta} &= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^k_i}{\partial v^\beta} = 2C^{kh}_i f f_h v_\beta = \boldsymbol{G}^k_{\beta i},\\
\boldsymbol{G}^k_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^k_\alpha}{\partial v^\beta} = -f f^k g_{\alpha\beta} = \boldsymbol{G}^k_{\beta\alpha},\\
\boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_{i\beta} &= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_i}{\partial v^\beta} = f^{-1} f_i \delta^\gamma_\beta = \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_{\beta i},\\
\boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_{ij} &= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_i}{\partial y^j} = \boldsymbol{G}^\gamma_{ji} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

where $C^{kh}_{i;j} = \frac{\partial C^{kh}_i}{\partial y^j}$. *Apart from* $\boldsymbol{G}^c_{ab}$*, the functions* $\boldsymbol{F}^c_{ab}$ *given by*

$$\boldsymbol{F}^c_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{g}^{ce}\Big(\frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{g}_{ea}}{\delta^t \boldsymbol{x}^b} + \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{g}_{eb}}{\delta^t \boldsymbol{x}^a} - \frac{\delta^t \boldsymbol{g}_{ab}}{\delta^t \boldsymbol{x}^e}\Big) \tag{3 .28}$$

Corollary 3. *Let* $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ *be a twisted product Finsler manifold. Then*

$$\boldsymbol{F}^c_{ab} = (\boldsymbol{F}^k_{ij}, \boldsymbol{F}^k_{i\beta}, \boldsymbol{F}^k_{\alpha j}, \boldsymbol{F}^k_{\alpha\beta}, \boldsymbol{F}^\gamma_{ij}, \boldsymbol{F}^\gamma_{i\beta}, \boldsymbol{F}^\gamma_{\alpha j}, \boldsymbol{F}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{F}^k_{ij} &= F^k_{ij} - \Big(M^r_j C^k_{ir} + M^r_i C^k_{jr} - M^r_h C_{ijr} g^{kh}\Big), && (3\ .29)\\
\boldsymbol{F}^k_{i\beta} &= -\boldsymbol{G}^r_\beta C^k_{ir} = \boldsymbol{F}^k_{\beta i}, && (3\ .30)\\
\boldsymbol{F}^k_{\alpha\beta} &= -ff^k g_{\alpha\beta} + f^2 g^{kh}\boldsymbol{G}^\lambda_h C_{\alpha\beta\lambda}, && (3\ .31)\\
\boldsymbol{F}^\gamma_{ij} &= f^{-2} g^{\gamma\lambda}\boldsymbol{G}^r_\lambda C_{ijr}, && (3\ .32)\\
\boldsymbol{F}^\gamma_{i\beta} &= f^{-1} f_i \delta^\gamma_\beta - \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_i C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \boldsymbol{F}^\gamma_{\beta i}, && (3\ .33)\\
\boldsymbol{F}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} &= F^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} + N^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} - \Big(M^\mu_\beta C^\gamma_{\alpha\mu} + M^\mu_\alpha C^\gamma_{\beta\mu} - M^\mu_\lambda C_{\alpha\beta\mu} g^{\gamma\lambda}\Big), && (3\ .34)\\
F^k_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{kh}\Big(\frac{\delta g_{hi}}{\delta x^j} + \frac{\delta g_{hj}}{\delta x^i} - \frac{\delta g_{ij}}{\delta x^h}\Big),\\
F^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\gamma\lambda}\Big(\frac{\delta g_{\lambda\alpha}}{\delta u^\beta} + \frac{\delta g_{\lambda\beta}}{\delta u^\alpha} - \frac{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta u^\lambda}\Big),\\
M^r_i &= C^{rh}_i f f_h F^2_2,\\
M^\mu_\alpha &= f^{-1}(C^{\mu\gamma}_\alpha f_\gamma F^2_2 + f_r y^r \delta^\mu_\alpha + f_\gamma v^\gamma \delta^\mu_\alpha - g^{\mu\gamma} f_\gamma v_\alpha + f_\alpha v^\mu),\\
N^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} &= f^{-1}(f_\beta \delta^\gamma_\alpha + f_\alpha \delta^\gamma_\beta - f_\lambda g^{\gamma\lambda} g_{\alpha\beta}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By using (3 .28) we have

$$\mathbf{F}^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} g^{kh}\Big(\frac{\delta^t g_{hi}}{\delta^t x^j} + \frac{\delta^t g_{hj}}{\delta^t x^i} - \frac{\delta^t g_{ij}}{\delta^t x^h}\Big). \tag{3 .35}$$

Since g_{ij} is a function with respect to (x, y), then by (3 .14) and (3 .18) we obtain

$$\frac{\delta^t g_{hi}}{\delta^t x^j} = \frac{\delta g_{hi}}{\delta x^j} - 2M^r_j C_{hir}.$$

Interchanging i, j and h in the above equation gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta^t g_{hj}}{\delta^t x^i} &= \frac{\delta g_{hj}}{\delta x^i} - 2M^r_i C_{hjr}\\
\frac{\delta^t g_{ij}}{\delta^t x^h} &= \frac{\delta g_{ij}}{\delta x^h} - 2M^r_h C_{ijr}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting these equation in (3 .35), give us (3 .29). In the similar way, we can prove the another relation. □

By using (i) of (3 .12) and (3 .29)-(3 .34), we can conclude the following.

Lemma 2. *Let* $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ *be a twisted product Finsler manifold. Then* $\boldsymbol{y}^c \boldsymbol{F}^a_{bc} = \boldsymbol{G}^a_b$*, where* $\boldsymbol{F}^a_{bc}$ *and* $\boldsymbol{G}^a_b$ *are defined by (3 .28) and (i) of (3 .12), respectively.*

The Cartan torsion is one of the most important non-Riemannian quantity in Finsler geometry and it first introduced by Finsler and emphased by Cartan which measures a departure from a Riemannian manifold. More precisely, a Finsler metric reduces to a Riemannian metric if and only if it has vanishing Cartan torsion. The local components of Cartan tensor field of the twisted Finsler manifold $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{C}^a_{bc} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}^{ae}\frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{be}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^c}.$$

From this definition, we conclude the following.

Lemma 3. *Let* C^k_{ij} *and* $C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ *be the local components of Cartan tensor field on* M_1 *and* M_2*, respectively. Then we have*

$$\boldsymbol{C}^c_{ab} = (\boldsymbol{C}^k_{ij}, \boldsymbol{C}^k_{i\beta}, \boldsymbol{C}^k_{\alpha j}, \boldsymbol{C}^k_{\alpha\beta}, \boldsymbol{C}^\gamma_{ij}, \boldsymbol{C}^\gamma_{i\beta}, \boldsymbol{C}^\gamma_{\alpha j}, \boldsymbol{C}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}),$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{C}^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kh}\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^h} = C^k_{ij},$$
$$\boldsymbol{C}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\gamma\lambda}\frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial v^\lambda} = C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta},$$

and $\boldsymbol{C}^k_{i\beta} = \boldsymbol{C}^k_{\alpha j} = \boldsymbol{C}^k_{\alpha\beta} = \boldsymbol{C}^\gamma_{ij} = \boldsymbol{C}^\gamma_{i\beta} = \boldsymbol{C}^\gamma_{\alpha j} = 0.$

By using the Lemma 3, we can get the following.

Corollary 4. *Let* $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ *be a twisted product Finsler manifold. Then* $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ *is a Riemannian manifold if and only if* (M_1, F_1) *and* (M_2, F_2) *are Riemannian manifold.*

Various interesting special forms of Cartan tensors have been obtained by some Finslerians [17]. The Finsler spaces having such special forms have been called C-reducible, C2-like, semi-C-reducible, and etc. In [15], Matsumoto introduced the notion of C-reducible Finsler metrics and proved that any Randers metric is C-reducible. Later on, Matsumoto-Hōjō proves that the converse is true too [16].

Here, we define the Matsumoto twisted tensor $\mathbf{M}_{abc}$ for a twisted product Finsler manifold $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{M}_{abc} = \mathbf{C}_{abc} - \frac{1}{n+1}\{\mathbf{I}_a\mathbf{h}_{bc} + \mathbf{I}_b\mathbf{h}_{ac} + \mathbf{I}_c\mathbf{h}_{ab}\},$$

where $\mathbf{I}_a = \mathbf{g}^{bc}\mathbf{C}_{abc}$, $\mathbf{C}_{abc} = \mathbf{g}_{cd}\mathbf{C}^d_{ab}$ and $\mathbf{h}_{ab} = \mathbf{g}_{ab} - \frac{1}{F^2}\mathbf{y}_a\mathbf{y}_b$. By attention to the above equation and relations

$$\mathbf{C}_{ijk} = C_{ijk}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = f^2 C_{\alpha\beta\gamma},$$

we obtain

$$\mathbf{M}_{\alpha jk} = -\frac{1}{n+1}\Big\{I_\alpha(g_{jk} - \frac{1}{F^2}y_j y_k) - \frac{f^2}{F^2}v_\alpha(I_j y_k + I_k y_j)\Big\}.$$

Contracting the above equation in $y^j y^k$ give us

$$y^j y^k \mathbf{M}_{\alpha jk} = -\frac{f^2 F_1^2 F_2^2}{(n+1)F^2} I_\alpha.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$v^\lambda v^\beta \mathbf{M}_{i\beta\lambda} = -\frac{f^2 F_1^2 F_2^2}{(n+1)F^2} I_i.$$

Therefore if $\mathbf{M}_{i\beta\lambda} = \mathbf{M}_{\alpha jk} = 0$, then we get $I_i = I_\alpha = 0$, i.e., (M_1, F_1) and (M_2, F_2) are Riemannian manifolds. Thus we have

Theorem 1. *There is not exist any C-reducible twisted product Finsler manifold.*

Now, we are going to consider semi-C-reducible twisted product Finsler manifold $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$. Let $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ be a semi-C-reducible twisted product Finsler manifold. Then we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{abc} = \frac{p}{n+1}\{\mathbf{I}_a\mathbf{h}_{bc} + \mathbf{I}_b\mathbf{h}_{ac} + \mathbf{I}_c\mathbf{h}_{ab}\} + \frac{q}{\mathbf{C}^2}\mathbf{I}_a\mathbf{I}_b\mathbf{I}_c,$$

where $\mathbf{C}^2 = \mathbf{I}^a\mathbf{I}_a$ and p and q are scalar function on $M_1 \times {}_f M_2$ with $p + q = 1$. This equation gives us

$$0 = \mathbf{C}_{\alpha jk} = \frac{p}{n+1}\Big\{I_\alpha(g_{jk} - \frac{1}{F^2}y_j y_k) - \frac{f^2}{F^2}v_\alpha(I_j y_k + I_k y_j)\Big\} + \frac{q}{\mathbf{C}^2}I_\alpha I_j I_k.$$

Contraction the above equation with $y^j y^k$ implies that

$$p f^2 F_1^2 F_2^2 I_\alpha = 0.$$

Therefore we have $p = 0$ or $I_\alpha = 0$. If $p = 0$, then F is $C2$-like metric. But if $p \neq 0$, then $I_\alpha = 0$, i. e., F_2 is Riemannian metric. In this case, with similar way we conclude that F_1 is Riemannian metric. But, by definition F can not be a Riemannian metric. Therefore we have

Theorem 2. *Every semi-C-reducible twisted product Finsler manifold $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ is a $C2$-like manifold.*

4 Riemannian Curvature

The Riemannian curvature of twisted product Finsler manifold $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ with respect to Berwald connection is given by

$$\mathbf{R}_b{}^a{}_{cd} = \frac{\delta^t \mathbf{F}^a_{bc}}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^d} - \frac{\delta^t \mathbf{F}^a_{bd}}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^c} + \mathbf{F}^a_{de}\mathbf{F}^e_{bc} - \mathbf{F}^a_{ce}\mathbf{F}^e_{bd}. \tag{4 .36}$$

Lemma 4. *Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ be a twisted product Finsler manifold. Then we have*

$$\boldsymbol{R}^a{}_{cd} = \boldsymbol{y}^b \boldsymbol{R}_b{}^a{}_{cd},$$

where $\boldsymbol{R}^a{}_{cd}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}^b \boldsymbol{R}_b{}^a{}_{cd}$ are given by (3 .27) and (4 .36).

Proof. By using (4 .36), we have

$$\mathbf{y}^b \mathbf{R}_b{}^i{}_{kl} = \mathbf{y}^b \frac{\delta^t \mathbf{F}^i_{bk}}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^l} - \mathbf{y}^b \frac{\delta^t \mathbf{F}^i_{bl}}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^k} + \mathbf{y}^b \mathbf{F}^i_{le}\mathbf{F}^e_{bk}. - \mathbf{y}^b \mathbf{F}^i_{ke}\mathbf{F}^e_{bl}. \tag{4 .37}$$

By using Corollary 3 and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\mathbf{y}^b \frac{\delta^t \mathbf{F}^i_{bk}}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^l} = \frac{\delta^t \mathbf{G}^i_k}{\delta^t x^l} + \mathbf{F}^i_{jk}\mathbf{G}^j_l + \mathbf{F}^i_{\beta k}\mathbf{G}^\beta_l, \tag{4 .38}$$

$$\mathbf{y}^b \mathbf{F}^i_{le}\mathbf{F}^e_{bk} = \mathbf{F}^i_{lh}\mathbf{G}^h_k + \mathbf{F}^i_{l\gamma}\mathbf{G}^\gamma_k. \tag{4 .39}$$

Interchanging i and j in the above equation imply that

$$\mathbf{y}^b \frac{\delta^t \mathbf{F}^i_{bl}}{\delta^t \mathbf{x}^k} = \frac{\delta^t \mathbf{G}^i_l}{\delta^t x^k} + \mathbf{F}^i_{jl}\mathbf{G}^j_k + \mathbf{F}^i_{\beta l}\mathbf{G}^\beta_k, \tag{4 .40}$$

$$\mathbf{y}^b \mathbf{F}^i_{ke}\mathbf{F}^e_{bl} = \mathbf{F}^i_{kh}\mathbf{G}^h_l + \mathbf{F}^i_{k\gamma}\mathbf{G}^\gamma_l. \tag{4 .41}$$

Setting (4 .38), (4 .39), (4 .40) and (4 .41) in (4 .37) give us $\mathbf{y}^b \mathbf{R}_b{}^i{}_{kl} = \mathbf{R}^i{}_{kl}$. In the similar way, we can obtain this relation for another indices. $\square$

Using (4 .36), we can compute the Riemannian curvature of a twisted product Finsler manifold.

Lemma 5. *Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ be a twisted product Finsler manifold . Then the coefficients of Riemannian curvature are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{R}_j{}^i{}_{kl} = R_j{}^i{}_{kl} - \Big\{ \{ M^r_l \frac{\partial F^i_{jk}}{\partial y^r} + \frac{\delta^t M^i_{jk}}{\delta^t x^l} + F^i_{lh} M^h_{jk} + M^i_{lh} F^h_{jk} - M^i_{lh} M^h_{jk} \\ + f^{-2} g^{\alpha\gamma} \boldsymbol{G}^r_\alpha \boldsymbol{G}^m_\gamma C^i_{lr} C_{jkm} \} - \mathfrak{C}^k_l \Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{4 .42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{R}_\alpha{}^i{}_{kl} = \Big\{ - \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^l} (\boldsymbol{G}^r_\alpha C^i_{kr}) - (F^i_{rl} - M^i_{rl}) \boldsymbol{G}^m_\alpha C^r_{km} - f^{-1} \boldsymbol{G}^r_\beta C^i_{lr} f_k \delta^\beta_\alpha \\ + \boldsymbol{G}^r_\beta \boldsymbol{G}^\mu_k C^i_{lr} C^\beta_{\alpha\mu} \Big\} - \mathfrak{C}^k_l. \end{aligned} \tag{4 .43}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{R}_{j}{}^{i}{}_{\beta\lambda} = \Big\{ &-\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\lambda}(\boldsymbol{G}^r_\beta C^i_{jr}) + \boldsymbol{G}^m_\lambda \boldsymbol{G}^l_\beta C^i_{rm} C^r_{jl} - (f^i g_{\alpha\lambda} - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\mu_h g^{ih} C_{\alpha\lambda\mu}) \\ &(f_j \delta^\alpha_\beta - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\nu_j C^\alpha_{\beta\nu})\Big\} - \mathfrak{C}^\beta_\lambda.\end{aligned} \tag{4 .44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}{}^{i}{}_{\beta l} = &\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\beta}(\boldsymbol{G}^r_\alpha C^i_{lr}) - \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^l} f(f^i g_{\alpha\beta} - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\lambda_h g^{ih} C_{\alpha\beta\lambda}) \\ &-\boldsymbol{G}^m_\beta \boldsymbol{G}^s_\alpha C^i_{rm} C^r_{ls} + (f^i g_{\mu\beta} - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\lambda_h g^{ih} C_{\mu\beta\lambda})(f_l \delta^\mu_\alpha \\ &-f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\nu_l C^\mu_{\alpha\nu}) - f g^{rh}(F^i_{rl} - M^i_{rl})(f_h g_{\alpha\beta} - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\lambda_h C_{\alpha\beta\lambda}) \\ &-\boldsymbol{G}^r_\mu C^i_{lr}(F^\mu_{\alpha\beta} + N^\mu_{\alpha\beta} - M^\mu_{\alpha\beta}).\end{aligned} \tag{4 .45}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{R}_{j}{}^{i}{}_{\beta l} = &-\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^l}(\boldsymbol{G}^r_\beta C^i_{jr}) - \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\beta}(F^i_{jl} - M^i_{jl}) - (F^i_{lr} - M^i_{lr})\,\boldsymbol{G}^s_\beta C^r_{js} \\ &-f^{-1}\boldsymbol{G}^r_\alpha C^i_{lr}(f_j \delta^\alpha_\beta - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\mu_j C^\alpha_{\beta\mu}) + \boldsymbol{G}^s_\beta C^i_{rs}(F^r_{jl} - M^r_{jl}) \\ &+f^{-1}\boldsymbol{G}^r_\mu C_{jlr}(f^i \delta^\mu_\beta - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\lambda_h g^{ih} C^\mu_{\beta\lambda})\end{aligned} \tag{4 .46}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}{}^{i}{}_{\beta\lambda} = \Big\{ &-\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\lambda}(f f^i g_{\alpha\beta} - f^2 g^{ih}\,\boldsymbol{G}^\mu_h C_{\alpha\beta\mu}) + f\,\boldsymbol{G}^s_\lambda C^i_{rs}(f^r g_{\alpha\beta} \\ &-f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\mu_l C_{\alpha\beta\mu} g^{rl}) - f(f^i g_{\lambda\mu} - f g^{ih}\,\boldsymbol{G}^\kappa_h C_{\lambda\mu\kappa})(F^\mu_{\alpha\beta} \\ &+N^\mu_{\alpha\beta} - M^\mu_{\alpha\beta})\Big\} - \mathfrak{C}^\beta_\lambda.\end{aligned} \tag{4 .47}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{R}_{j}{}^{\gamma}{}_{kl} = \Big\{ &\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^l}(f^{-2} g^{\gamma\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{G}^r_\lambda C_{jkr}) + f^{-2} g^{\gamma\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{G}^s_\lambda C_{lrs}(F^r_{jk} - M^r_{jk}) \\ &+f^{-3}\boldsymbol{G}^r_\mu C_{jkr}(f_l g^{\gamma\mu} - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_l C^{\gamma\mu}_\alpha)\Big\} - \mathfrak{C}^k_l.\end{aligned} \tag{4 .48}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{R}_{j}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\beta l} = &\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^l}(f^{-1} f_j \delta^\gamma_\beta - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_j C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}) - \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\beta}(f^{-2} g^{\gamma\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{G}^r_\lambda C_{jlr}) \\ &-f^{-2} g^{\gamma\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{G}^s_\lambda \boldsymbol{G}^m_\beta C^h_{ls} C_{hjm} + f^{-2}(f_l \delta^\gamma_\mu - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_l C^\gamma_{\mu\alpha})(f_j \delta^\mu_\beta \\ &-f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\nu_j C^\mu_{\beta\nu}) - f^{-1}(f_r \delta^\gamma_\beta - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_r C^\gamma_{\beta\alpha})(F^r_{jl} - M^r_{jl}) \\ &-f^{-2} g^{\mu\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{G}^r_\lambda C_{jlr}(F^\gamma_{\beta\mu} + N^\gamma_{\beta\mu} - M^\gamma_{\beta\mu}).\end{aligned} \tag{4 .49}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\beta l} = &\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^l}(F^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} + N^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} - M^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}) - \frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\beta}(f^{-1} f_l \delta^\gamma_\alpha - \boldsymbol{G}^\mu_l C^\gamma_{\alpha\mu}) \\ &-f^{-1} g^{\gamma\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{G}^s_\lambda C^h_{ls}(f_h g_{\alpha\beta} - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\mu_h C_{\alpha\beta\mu}) + f^{-1}(f_l \delta^\gamma_\mu \\ &-f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\kappa_l C^\gamma_{\mu\kappa})(F^\mu_{\alpha\beta} + N^\mu_{\alpha\beta} - M^\mu_{\alpha\beta}) + f^{-1}\boldsymbol{G}^s_\alpha C^r_{ls}(f_r \delta^\gamma_\beta \\ &-f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\kappa_r C^\gamma_{\beta\kappa}) - f^{-1}(f_l \delta^\mu_\alpha - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\kappa_l C^\mu_{\alpha\kappa})(F^\gamma_{\beta\mu} + N^\gamma_{\beta\mu} - M^\gamma_{\beta\mu}).\end{aligned} \tag{4 .50}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{R}_{j\ \beta\lambda}^{\ \gamma} = &\Big\{\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t u^\lambda}(f^{-1}f_j\delta^\gamma_\beta - \boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_j C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}) + f^{-1}(F^\gamma_{\alpha\lambda} + N^\gamma_{\alpha\lambda} - M^\gamma_{\alpha\lambda})\\ &(f_j\delta^\alpha_\beta - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\nu_j C^\alpha_{\beta\nu}) - f^{-1}\,\boldsymbol{G}^m_\beta C^r_{jm}(f_r\delta^\gamma_\lambda - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\alpha_r C^\gamma_{\lambda\alpha})\Big\} - \mathfrak{C}^\beta_\lambda. \qquad (4\ .51)\\ \boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\ kl}^{\ \gamma} = &\Big\{\frac{\delta^t}{\delta^t x^l}(f^{-1}f_k\delta^\gamma_\alpha - \boldsymbol{G}^\mu_k C^\gamma_{\alpha\mu}) + f^{-2}(f_l\delta^\gamma_\beta - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\kappa_l C^\gamma_{\beta\kappa})\\ &(f_k\delta^\beta_\alpha - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\nu_k C^\beta_{\alpha\nu}) - f^{-2}\,\boldsymbol{G}^s_\mu\,\boldsymbol{G}^m_\alpha\, g^{\gamma\mu}C^h_{ls}C_{hkm}\Big\} - \mathfrak{C}^k_l. \qquad (4\ .52)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\ \beta\lambda}^{\ \gamma} = R_{\alpha\ \beta\lambda}^{\ \gamma} - \Big\{&\{M^\kappa_\lambda\frac{\partial F^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial v^\kappa} + \frac{\delta^t M^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta^t u^\lambda} + F^\gamma_{\lambda\mu}M^\mu_{\alpha\beta} + M^\gamma_{\lambda\mu}F^\mu_{\alpha\beta} - M^\gamma_{\lambda\mu}M^\mu_{\alpha\beta}\\ &+\frac{\delta^t N^\gamma_{\alpha\lambda}}{\delta^t u^\beta} + F^\gamma_{\beta\mu}N^\mu_{\alpha\lambda} + N^\gamma_{\beta\mu}F^\mu_{\alpha\lambda} + N^\gamma_{\beta\mu}N^\mu_{\alpha\lambda} + N^\gamma_{\lambda\mu}M^\mu_{\alpha\beta} + N^\mu_{\alpha\beta}M^\gamma_{\lambda\mu}\\ &+(g^{rs}\delta^\gamma_\lambda f_s - f g^{rs}\,\boldsymbol{G}^\kappa_s C^\gamma_{\lambda\kappa})(g_{\alpha\beta}f_r - f\,\boldsymbol{G}^\mu_r C_{\alpha\beta\mu})\} - \mathfrak{C}^\beta_\lambda\Big\} \qquad (4\ .53)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}M^i_{jk} &= M^r_k C^i_{jr} + M^r_j C^i_{kr} - M^r_h g^{ih}C_{jkr},\\ M^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} &= M^\mu_\beta C^\gamma_{\alpha\mu} + M^\mu_\alpha C^\gamma_{\beta\mu} - M^\mu_\nu g^{\gamma\nu}C_{\alpha\beta\mu},\\ N^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} &= f^{-1}(f_\beta\delta^\gamma_\alpha + f_\alpha\delta^\gamma_\beta - f_\lambda g^{\gamma\lambda}g_{\alpha\beta})\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathfrak{C}^i_j$ *denotes the interchange of indices* i, j *and subtraction.*

By the Theorem 5, we have the following.

Theorem 3. *Let* $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ *be a flat twisted product Finsler manifold and* (M_1, F_1) *is Riemannian. If* f *is a function on* M_2*, only, then* (M_1, F_1) *is locally flat.*

Similarly, we get the following.

Theorem 4. *Let* $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ *be a flat twisted product Finsler manifold and* (M_2, F_2) *is Riemannian. If* f *is a function on* M_1*, only, then* (M_2, F_2) *is a space of positive constant curvature* $||gradf||^2$.

Proof. Since M_2 is Riemannain and f is a function on M_1, then by (4 .53), we obtain

$$\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\ \beta\lambda}^{\ \gamma} = R_{\alpha\ \beta\lambda}^{\ \gamma} + ||gradf||^2(\delta^\gamma_\lambda g_{\alpha\beta} - \delta^\gamma_\beta g_{\alpha\lambda}). \qquad (4\ .54)$$

Since $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ is flat, then $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\ \beta\lambda}^{\ \gamma} = 0$. Thus the proof is complete. □

Theorem 5. *Let* $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ *be a twisted product Riemannian manifold and* f *be a function on* M_2*, only. Then* $(M_1 \times {}_f M_2, F)$ *is flat if and only if* (M_1, F_1) *is flat and the Riemannian curvature of* (M_2, F_2) *satisfies in the following equation:*

$$R_{\alpha\ \beta\lambda}^{\ \gamma} = \{\frac{\delta^t N^\gamma_{\alpha\lambda}}{\delta^t u^\beta} + F^\gamma_{\beta\mu}N^\mu_{\alpha\lambda} + N^\gamma_{\beta\mu}F^\mu_{\alpha\lambda} + N^\gamma_{\beta\mu}N^\mu_{\alpha\lambda}\} - \mathfrak{C}^\beta_\lambda. \qquad (4\ .55)$$

5 Twisted Product Finsler manifolds With Non-Riemannian Curvature Properties

There are several important non-Riemannian quantities such as the Berwald curvature **B**, the mean Berwald curvature **E** and the Landsberg curvature **L**, etc [26]. They all vanish for Riemannian metrics, hence they are said to be non-Riemannian. In this section, we find some necessary and sufficient conditions under which a twisted product Riemannian manifold are Berwaldian, of isotropic Berwald curvature, of isotropic mean Berwald curvature. First, we prove the following.

Lemma 6. *Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ be a twisted product Finsler manifold . Then the coefficients of Berwald curvature are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{B}^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta\lambda} &= B^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta\lambda} + f^{-1}(C^{\gamma\nu}_{\lambda;\alpha;\beta} f_\nu F_2^2 + 2C^{\gamma\nu}_{\alpha;\beta} f_\nu v_\lambda + 2C^{\gamma\nu}_{\alpha;\lambda} f_\nu v_\beta \\
&\quad +2C^{\gamma\nu}_{\alpha} f_\nu g_{\lambda\beta} + 2C^{\gamma\nu}_{\lambda;\beta} f_\nu v_\alpha + 2C^{\gamma\nu}_{\beta} f_\nu g_{\lambda\alpha} \\
&\quad +2C^{\gamma\nu}_{\lambda} f_\nu g_{\alpha\beta} - 2C_{\alpha\beta\lambda} f^\gamma), && (5\ .56)\\
\boldsymbol{B}^{k}_{ijl} &= B^{k}_{ijl} + f C^{kh}_{l;j;i} f_h F_2^2, && (5\ .57)\\
\boldsymbol{B}^{k}_{i\beta l} &= 2f C^{kh}_{i;l} f_h v_\beta, && (5\ .58)\\
\boldsymbol{B}^{k}_{\alpha\beta l} &= 2f g_{\alpha\beta} C^{kh}_{l} f_h, && (5\ .59)\\
\boldsymbol{B}^{k}_{\alpha\beta\lambda} &= -2f C_{\alpha\beta\lambda} f^k, && (5\ .60)\\
\boldsymbol{B}^{\gamma}_{i\beta\lambda} &= \boldsymbol{B}^{\gamma}_{ij\lambda} = \boldsymbol{B}^{\gamma}_{ijk} = 0. && (5\ .61)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ is a Berwald manifold. Then we have $\mathbf{B}^d_{abc} = 0$. By using (5 .60), we get

$$C_{\alpha\beta\lambda} f^k = 0.$$

Multiply this equation in g_{kr} we obtain

$$C_{\alpha\beta\lambda} f_r = 0.$$

Thus if f is not constant on M_1, then we have $C_{\alpha\beta\lambda} = 0$. Also, from (5 .59) we result that

$$C^{kh}_{l} f_h = 0.$$

Differentiating this equation with respect y^j gives us

$$C^{kh}_{l;j} f_h = 0.$$

Similarly we obtain

$$C^{kh}_{l;j;i} f_h = 0.$$

Setting the last equation in (5 .57) implies that $B^k_{ijl} = 0$, i. e., (M_1, F_1) is Berwaldian. These explanations give us the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ be a twisted product Finsler manifold and f is not constant on M_1. Then $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ is Berwaldian if and only if (M_1, F_1) is Berwaldian, (M_2, F_2) is Riemannian and the equation $C^{kh}_{l} f_h = 0$ is hold.*

But if f is constant on M_1, i.e., $f_i = 0$, then we get the following.

Theorem 7. *Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ be a twisted product Finsler manifold and f is constant on M_1. Then $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ is Berwaldian if and only if (M_1, F_1) is Berwaldian and the Berwald curvature of (M_2, F_2) satisfies in the following equation:*

$$\begin{aligned} B^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta\lambda} = & -f^{-1}(C^{\gamma\nu}_{\beta;\alpha;\lambda} f_\nu F_2^2 + 2C^{\gamma\nu}_{\beta;\alpha} f_\nu v_\lambda + 2C^{\gamma\nu}_{\lambda;\alpha} f_\nu v_\beta + 2C^{\gamma\nu}_{\alpha} f_\nu g_{\lambda\beta} \\ & +2C^{\gamma\nu}_{\beta;\lambda} f_\nu v_\alpha + 2C^{\gamma\nu}_{\beta} f_\nu g_{\lambda\alpha} + 2C^{\gamma\nu}_{\lambda} f_\nu g_{\alpha\beta} - 2g^{\gamma\nu} C_{\alpha\beta\lambda} f_\nu) \end{aligned} \tag{5 .62}$$

Here, we consider twisted product Finsler manifold $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ of isotropic Berwald curvature.

Theorem 8. *Every isotropic Berwald twisted product Finsler manifold $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ is a Berwald manifold.*

Proof. Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ be an isotropic Berwald manifold. Then we have

$$\mathbf{B}^d_{abc} = cF^{-1}\{\mathbf{h}^d_a \mathbf{h}_{bc} + \mathbf{h}^d_b \mathbf{h}_{ac} + \mathbf{h}^d_c \mathbf{h}_{ab} + 2\mathbf{C}_{abc}\mathbf{y}^d\},$$

where $c = c(\mathbf{x})$ is a function on M. Setting $a = j$, $b = k$, $c = l$, $d = \gamma$ and using (5 .61) imply that

$$cF^{-1}\{\frac{3}{F^2} y_j y_k y_l v^\gamma - v^\gamma (y_j g_{kl} + y_k g_{jl} + y_l g_{jk})\} = 0.$$

Multiplying the above equation in $y^j y^k$, we derive that $cf^2 F_1^2 F_2^2 = 0$. Thus we have $c = 0$, i.e., $(M_1 \times_f M_2)$ is Berwaldian. □

Now, we are going to study twisted product Finsler manifold of isotropic mean Berwald curvature. For this work, we must compute the coefficients of mean Berwald curvature of a twisted product Finsler manifold.

Lemma 7. *Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ be a twisted product Finsler manifold. Then the coefficients of mean Berwald curvature are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{E}_{\alpha\beta} = & E_{\alpha\beta} + f g_{\alpha\beta} I^h f_h + \frac{1}{2} f I^{\nu}_{;\alpha;\beta} f_\nu F_2^2 + f^{-1} f_\nu (C^{\gamma\nu}_{\alpha;\beta} v_\gamma + I^{\nu}_{;\alpha} v_\beta + I^{\nu}_{;\beta} v_\alpha \\ & + C^{\nu}_{\alpha\beta} + I^{\nu} g_{\alpha\beta}), \end{aligned} \tag{5 .63}$$

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{ij} = E_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} f I^h_{;j;i} f_h F_2^2, \tag{5 .64}$$

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{i\beta} = f I^h_{;i} f_h v_\beta, \tag{5 .65}$$

where E_{ij} and $E_{\alpha\beta}$ are the coefficients of mean Berwald curvature of (M_1, F_1) and (M_2, F_2), respectively.

Proof. By definition and Lemma 6, we get the proof. □

Theorem 9. *The twisted product Finsler manifold* $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ *is weakly Berwald if and only if* (M_1, F_1) *is weakly Berwald,* $I^h f_h = 0$ *and the following hold*

$$E_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} f I^{\nu}_{;\alpha;\beta} f_\nu F_2^2 - f^{-1} f_\nu (C^{\gamma\nu}_{\alpha;\beta} v_\gamma + I^{\nu}_{;\alpha} v_\beta + I^{\nu}_{;\beta} v_\alpha + C^{\nu}_{\alpha\beta} + I^{\nu} g_{\alpha\beta}). \quad (5\ .66)$$

Proof. If $(M_1 \times_f M_2)$ be a weakly Berwald manifold, then we have

$$\mathbf{E}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{E}_{ij} = \mathbf{E}_{i\beta} = 0.$$

Thus by using (5 .65) we result that $I^h_{;i} f_h = 0$. This equation implies that

$$I^h_{;j;i} f_h = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad I^h f_h = 0.$$

By setting these equations in (5 .63) and (5 .64) we conclude that $E_{ij} = 0$ and $E_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies in (5 .66). □

Now, if f is constant on M_2, then (5 .66) implies that $E_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. Thus we conclude the following.

Corollary 5. *Let* $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ *be a twisted product Finsler manifold and* f *is a function on* M_1*, only. Then* $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ *is weakly Berwald if and only if* (M_1, F_1) *and* (M_2, F_2) *are weakly Berwald manifolds and* $I^h f_h = 0$.

Now, we consider twisted product Finsler manifolds with isotropic mean Berwald curvature. It is remarkable that as a consequence of Lemma 7, we have the following.

Lemma 8. *Twisted product Finsler manifold* $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ *is isotropic mean Berwald manifold if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha\beta} \, + \, & f g_{\alpha\beta} I^h f_h + \frac{1}{2} f I^{\nu}_{;\alpha;\beta} f_\nu F_2^2 + f^{-1} f_\nu (C^{\gamma\nu}_{\alpha;\beta} v_\gamma + I^{\nu}_{;\alpha} v_\beta + I^{\nu}_{;\beta} v_\alpha \\ & + C^{\nu}_{\alpha\beta} + I^{\nu} g_{\alpha\beta}) - \frac{n+1}{2} c f^2 F^{-1} (g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{f^2}{F^2} v_\alpha v_\beta) = 0, \qquad (5\ .67) \\ E_{ij} \, + \, & \frac{1}{2} f I^h_{;j;i} f_h F_2^2 - \frac{n+1}{2} c F^{-1} (g_{ij} - \frac{1}{F^2} y_i y_j) = 0, \qquad (5\ .68) \\ & c(n+1) F^{-3} y_i + f I^h_{;i} f_h = 0, \qquad (5\ .69) \end{aligned}$$

where $c = c(\boldsymbol{x})$ *is a scalar function on* M.

Theorem 10. *Every twisted product Finsler manifold* $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ *with isotropic mean Berwald curvature is a weakly Berwald manifold.*

Proof. Suppose that F is isotropic mean Berwald twisted product Finsler metric. Then differentiating (5 .69) with respect v^γ gives us

$$c(n+1) f^2 F^{-5} v_\gamma y_i = 0$$

Thus, we conclude that $c = 0$. This implies that F reduces to a weakly Berwald metric. □

6 Locally Dually Flat Twisted Product Finsler Manifolds

In [1], Amari-Nagaoka introduced the notion of dually flat Riemannian metrics when they study the information geometry on Riemannian manifolds. Information geometry has emerged from investigating the geometrical structure of a family of probability distributions and has been applied successfully to various areas including statistical inference, control system theory and multi-terminal information theory. In Finsler geometry, Shen extends the notion of locally dually flatness for Finsler metrics [24]. Dually flat Finsler metrics form a special and valuable class of Finsler metrics in Finsler information geometry, which play a very important role in studying flat Finsler information structure [9][28].

In this section, we study locally dually flat twisted product Finsler metrics. It is remarkable that, a Finsler metric $F = F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ on a manifold M is said to be locally dually flat if at any point there is a standard coordinate system $(\mathbf{x}^a, \mathbf{y}^a)$ in TM such that it satisfies

$$\frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial \mathbf{x}^b \partial \mathbf{y}^a}\ \mathbf{y}^b = 2\ \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial \mathbf{x}^a}. \tag{6 .70}$$

In this case, the coordinate $(\mathbf{x}^a)$ is called an adapted local coordinate system. By using (6 .70) we can obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 9. *Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ be a twisted product Finsler manifold. Then F is locally dually flat if and only if F_1 and F_2 satisfy in the following equations*

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_1^2}{\partial x^k \partial y^l} y^k = 2\frac{\partial F_1^2}{\partial x^l} + 4 f f_l F_2^2, \tag{6 .71}$$

$$4 f_k v_\beta y^k + f\frac{\partial^2 F_2^2}{\partial u^\alpha \partial v^\beta} v^\alpha + 4 f_\alpha v_\beta v^\alpha = 2f\frac{\partial F_2^2}{\partial u^\beta} + 4 f_\beta F_2^2. \tag{6 .72}$$

Now, let F be a locally dually flat Finsler metric. Taking derivative with respect to v^γ from (6 .71) yields $f_l = 0$, which means that f is a constant function on M_1. In this case, the relations (6 .71) and (6 .72) reduce to the following

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_1^2}{\partial x^k \partial y^l} y^k = 2\frac{\partial F_1^2}{\partial x^l}, \tag{6 .73}$$

$$f\frac{\partial^2 F_2^2}{\partial u^\alpha \partial v^\beta} v^\alpha + 4 f_\alpha v_\beta v^\alpha = 2f\frac{\partial F_2^2}{\partial u^\beta} + 4 f_\beta F_2^2. \tag{6 .74}$$

By (6 .73), we deduce that F_1 is locally dually flat.

Now, we assume that F_1 and F_2 are locally dually flat Finsler metrics. Then we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_1^2}{\partial x^k \partial y^l} y^k = 2\frac{\partial F_1^2}{\partial x^l}, \tag{6 .75}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_2^2}{\partial u^\alpha \partial v^\beta} v^\alpha = 2\frac{\partial F_2^2}{\partial u^\beta}. \tag{6 .76}$$

By (6 .75) and (6 .76), we derive that (6 .71) and (6 .72) are hold if and only if the following hold

$$f_l = 0, \qquad f_\alpha v_\beta v^\alpha = f_\beta F_2^2. \tag{6 .77}$$

Therefore we can conclude the following.

Theorem 11. *Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, F)$ be a twisted product Finsler manifold.*
(i) If F is locally dually flat then F_1 is locally dually flat, f is a function with respect (u^α) only and F_2 satisfy in (6 .74).
(ii) If F_1 and F_2 are locally dually flat. Then F is locally dually flat if and only if f is a function with respect (u^α) only and F_2 satisfies in (6 .77).

By Theorem 11, we conclude the following.

Corollary 6. *There is not exist any locally dually flat proper twisted product Finsler manifold.*

References

[1] S.-I. Amari and H. Nagaoka, *Methods of Information Geometry*, AMS Translation of Math. Monographs, Oxford University Press, 2000.

[2] D. Bao, S.S. Chern and Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemannian-Finsler Geometry*, Spinger-Verlag, 2000.

[3] J. K. Beem, P. E. Ehrlich and K. L. Easley, *Global Lorentzian Geometry*, Marcel Dekker, Second Edition, NewYork, 1996.

[4] L. Berwald, *Über Parallelübertragung in Räumen mit allgemeiner Massbestimmung*, Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. **34**(1926), 213-220.

[5] B. Y. Chen, *Geometry of submanifolds and its application*, Tokyo: Science University of Tokyo, III, 1981.

[6] B. Y. Chen, *Twisted product CR-submanifolds in Kähler manifolds*, Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci. **16**(2000), 105-121.

[7] B. Y. Chen, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vranken, *Lagrangian isometric immersions of a real-space-form $M^n(c)$ into a complex-space-form $M^n(4c)$*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **124**(1998), 107-125.

[8] X. Chen and Z. Shen, *On Douglas Metrics*, Publ. Math. Debrecen. **66**(2005), 503-512.

[9] X. Cheng, Z. Shen and Y. Zhou, *On a class of locally dually flat Finsler metrics*, Int. J. Math. **21**(11) (2010), 1-13.

[10] G. Ganchev and V. Mihova, *Riemannian manifolds of quasi-constant sectional curvatures*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **522**(2000), 119-141.

[11] Y. Ichijyō, *Finsler spaces modeled on a Minkowski space*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **16**(1976), 639-652.

[12] N. Koike, *The decomposition of curvature netted hypersurfaces*, Geom. Dedicata. **54**(1995), 1-11 .

[13] L. Kozma, I. R. Peter and H. Shimada, *On the twisted product of Finsler manifolds*, Rep. Math. Phys. **57**(2006), 375-383.

[14] M. Lohnherr and H. Reckziegel, *On ruled real hypersurfaces in complex space forms*, Geom. Dedicata, **74**(1999), 267-286.

[15] M. Matsumoto, *On Finsler spaces with Randers metric and special forms of important tensors*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14**(1974), 477-498.

[16] M. Matsumoto and S. Hōjō, *A conclusive theorem for C-reducible Finsler spaces*, Tensor. N. S. **32**(1978), 225-230.

[17] M. Matsumoto and C. Shibata, *On semi-C-reducibility, T-tensor and S4-1ikeness of Finsler spaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **19**(1979), 301-314.

[18] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, New York: Academic Press, 1983.

[19] B. Najafi, Z. Shen and A. Tayebi, *Finsler metrics of scalar flag curvature with special non-Riemannian curvature properties*, Geom. Dedicata. **131**(2008), 87-97.

[20] E. Peyghan and A. Heydari, *Conformal vector fields on tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold*, J. Math. Analysis. Appl. **347**(1) (2008), 136-142.

[21] E. Peyghan, A. Tayebi and B. Najafi, *Doubly warped product Finsler manifolds with some non-Riemannian curvature properties*, Ann. Polonici. Math, **105**(2012), 293-311.

[22] R. Ponge and H. Reckziegel, *Twisted products in pseudo-Riemannian geometry*, Geom. Dedicata, **48**(1993), 15-25.

[23] Z. Shen, *Differential Geometry of Spray and Finsler Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.

[24] Z. Shen, *Riemann-Finsler geometry with applications to information geometry*, Chin. Ann. Math. **27**(2006), 73-94.

[25] A. Tayebi and B. Najafi, *On isotropic Berwald metrics*, Ann. Polonici. Math. **103**(2012), 109-121.

[26] A. Tayebi and E. Peyghan, *Special Berwald metrics*, Sym. Int. Geom: Meth. Appl, (SIGMA), **6**(2010), 008, 9 pages.

[27] A. Tayebi and E. Peyghan, *On Ricci tensors of Randers metrics*, J. Geom. Phys. **60**(2010), 1665-1670.

[28] Q. Xia, *On a class of locally dually flat Finsler metrics of isotropic flag curvature*, Publ. Math. Debrecen. **78**(1) (2011), 169-190.

Esmail Peyghan and Leila Nourmohammadi Far
Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics
Arak University
Arak 38156-8-8349, Iran
Email: epeyghan@gmail.com

Akbar Tayebi
Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics
University of Qom
Qom, Iran
Email: akbar.tayebi@gmail.com